in turn, retaliated against us, putting tariffs ranging from 5 to 25 percent on \$100 billion of U.S. exports to China. So there has been an escalation of tariffs as we have been in these negotiations.

There has been discussion about the United States keeping our 25 percent and 10 percent tariffs in place as a backstop even after an agreement is reached. I think that is unlikely because I think it is a recipe for no agreement or an inadequate agreement.

Instead, I believe it is important for both countries to reduce or eliminate altogether the new tariffs under 301 and the retaliatory tariffs when the agreement is reached. Of course, the United States would be able to quickly reimpose tariffs if China doesn't live up to the commitments it makes, and that would be appropriate. But I think we ought to make a commitment now to China that we are willing to get rid of these tariffs, or substantially all of them, if a good agreement is reached.

Over the next few weeks, I hope the President remains focused on reaching this agreement that addresses the structural inequities in our trade relationship. Buying more soybeans is important, but this is a chance to resolve deeper issues, especially when there is such compelling evidence of commitments not met in the past and continued inequities in the U.S.-China trade relationship.

As part of reaching an enforceable structural agreement, I urge the administration to give China certainty about what we actually want and exactly what we want. From what I have heard, I believe giving Beijing the security of an unwavering negotiating position will help unlock China's last best offer. My sense is that is not yet on the table because perhaps they think we have shifted in terms of our objectives and priorities. The agreement would then allow the United States to take a step forward toward a more balanced, equitable, and durable U.S.-China relationship.

Again, I commend the administration and President Trump and Ambassador Lighthizer for engaging in these negotiations. I think we are headed in the right direction, but let's bring it to a close.

I want to note that the current negotiations are only part of what must be a holistic and long-term strategy toward China. A good agreement and strong enforcement is essential, but to keep the United States competitive over the long term, we have to invest more here at home.

As an example, if you are going to be in a sports competition, it helps to go to the gym once in a while. Until recently, we hadn't been hitting the gym too much.

Tax reform and lifting burdensome regulations recently have given our economy a shot in the arm. It is really important because it has created jobs and increased wages, but it has also made our country more competitive, particularly by investing in technology and investing in new equipment.

Unfortunately, we still have some challenges we need to address to be truly competitive. We have a workforce that too often lacks the skills necessary for the 21st century. We have an opioid epidemic that is undermining our economy as well as our communities. We have a crumbling infrastructure that is holding back economic growth.

Instead of people being awed at how quickly China can build a bridge, I want people to be awed at how effectively and how fast we can build a bridge here in this country. To do that. we need to build on the permitting reforms we have enacted in the last few years to make it easier to start and quicker to finish projects that keep our economy moving and growing. Reinvesting in America with world-class career and technical education, infrastructure investment, pro-growth and pro-innovation economic policies, as we started with tax reform and regulatory relief—these are the things that would send signals to China and to the rest of the world that we are a vibrant nation, we are in the game, we are focused on the future, we are constantly innovating, and we are not a nation in decline.

I believe the best days of our country can be before us. We need to show the world that America remains, in fact, the world's preeminent power because of our free markets, because of our innovations, and because of our work ethic. If we do that, we will be able to compete with China. If we don't, even without these trade negotiations, it will be difficult.

By the way, unlike some, I don't propose to compete with China by adopting policies and processes that mimic their system. As an example, nationalizing our 5G deployment or adopting 5-year industrial plans, as China does, is not the path to success. It gives in to the critiques that we make of Beijing. Instead, we need to double down on the American way: big ideas and bold visions grounded in principles unique to our origins. After all, we believe in freedom and free markets because they work.

With regard to China, we should want to have a successful and mutually beneficial relationship on trade and other issues. China and the United States must be strategic competitors going forward, not enemies.

I commend the Trump administration for entering into these difficult and very important negotiations with China, and I encourage the administration to stay strong in the pursuit of long-term. meaningful structural changes in that relationship. I want our country to do the hard work here at home, to ensure that American competitiveness is second to none. That combination—a successful resolution of longstanding issues with China and staying on the cutting edge here at home—will ensure the continued prosperity and global leadership of the United States of America.

Thank you.
I yield back my time.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Ohio.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. PORTMAN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that notwithstanding rule XXII, the postcloture time on the Stanton nomination expire at 11:45 a.m. on Wednesday, April 10; further, that if confirmed, the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table and the President be immediately notified of the Senate's action. Additionally, I ask that following the disposition of the Stanton nomination, the Senate vote on the confirmation of the Abizaid nomination as under the previous order and that, if confirmed, the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table and the President be immediately notified of the Senate's action; finally, that the mandatory quorum call with respect to the Brady nomination be waived.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. PORTMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to legislative session for a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

VOTE EXPLANATION

Ms. DUCKWORTH. Madam President, I was necessarily absent for vote No. 65 on the motion to invoke cloture on Executive Calendar No. 21, nomination of Daniel Desmond Domenico, of Colorado, to be United States District Judge for the District of Colorado. On vote No. 65, had I been present, I would have voted nay on the motion to invoke cloture on Executive Calendar No. 21.

ARMS SALES NOTIFICATION

Mr. RISCH. Madam President, section 36(b) of the Arms Export Control Act requires that Congress receive prior notification of certain proposed arms sales as defined by that statute. Upon such notification, the Congress has 30 calendar days during which the sale may be reviewed. The provision stipulates that, in the Senate, the notification of proposed sales shall be sent to the chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee.

In keeping with the committee's intention to see that relevant information is available to the full Senate, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD at this point the notifications which have been received. If